

Previous references.

File No.

-S H R. 145 of 1903-04

1904

43 of 03-04

(Salary + allowance)

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 142

PART B. FOR

July 1904

SUBJECT.

Sanction of privilege leave allowance of Rs 241-10-2
to Mr T. Srinivasa Rao, Asst. Dy. Inspector of Schools,
Kadur

FILE No. 43 of 03-04.

Sanction of privilege leave allowances to Mr. T. Srinivasa Rao, late Assistant Deputy Inspector of Schools, Kadur.

- 1 From the Inspector General of Education, No. 10503—441, dated 29th May 1904.
Requests sanction to the payment of a bill for Rs. 241-10-2, being the amount of leave allowance due to Mr. T. Srinivasa Rao, retired Assistant Deputy Inspector, Kadur District, which was returned unaudited by the Comptroller.
- 2 To the Inspector General of Education and Comptroller, No. Fl. 375-6—S. & A. 43-03, dated 20th July 1904.
Observes that the grant of privilege leave preparatory to retirement under the authority of Government Order No. 15097-136—P. & A. 1396, dated the 20th April 1903, necessarily involves extra cost which should therefore be audited.

File 10

to retirement. The leave recommended may be granted under Govt. Pro: No 15097-136 / P + A 1396 dated the 20th April 1903.

It is presumed that Mr. T. Sreenivasa Rao is entitled to the leave recommended for.

A draft sanctioning the Govt. recommendation is submitted for approval.

20-1-04).

Subd to Secy with a draft

24/1/4

20/1/04

M. Dewani
the 2nd Councillor

This is presumable.
Draft- appd of

Notes

22-1-04.

15362

23

Copy this above
notes in a separate
sheet.

Letter No. $\frac{10503}{441}$ of 29/5/04 from the
Lgl of Bd^r requesting sanction to
a sum of Rs 241-10-2 being the
privilege leave allowance to Mr. J.
Sreenivasa Rao, late Asst Dy Insp^r
of Schools, Kadur

Mr. Sreenivasa Rao was
granted 3 months privilege leave
preparatory to retirement. and he

availed himself of the leave
with effect from the 19th January 04.
But as a successor (Mr. M. Narayana
Rao) was appointed with effect
from the same date on Rs 50 in
class C IV (50 - $\frac{10}{2}$ - 100). Vide G.O.

N.

No. G 3226-7 & 24/12/03; a difficulty
has now arisen as to how to meet
the extra cost involved. The I of
therefore requests Govt sanction
to pay the privilege ^{leave} allowance of
Mr. G. Sreenivasa Rao. But what
is required is to sanction to
meet the extra cost involved
by the new appointment for the
1st 3 months, and not for paying
the privilege ^{leave} allowance of Mr.
Sreenivasa Rao which is due
to him according to the Service
Regulations.

As the matter relates to
the Financial Branch, may the

be transferred to that Branch

Subd for orders -

Kirk
24/5/04

Transferred to H B V

23/6/04

Received in P.H.A.

28-6-04

Budget rules Part I chapter II of
Govt Ord: No. H. 1150-1192 dated
18-1901-02
the 7th Feb 1903 prohibits the
debiting of any charge to the H Savings
A draft letter to the J. H. enquiring
how he would propose to meet the
extra cost involved is subd for orders.

MB

577/04

Privilege leave granted to an officer preparatory to
retirement cannot, as stated by the Comptroller, be
regulated by art 191 M.S.R. but by G.P. No. 15097-136
PLA 1396

*2
20-4-03 Under art 191 if an officer whose
pay is less than Rs 100 is granted privilege leave for
more than a month at a time, this arrangement
ought not to impose any extra cost on the State
i.e. any allowance granted to the officer
appointed to act should be met from the pay
of the permanent incumbent on leave and
the balance only paid to the latter.

But privilege leave granted preparatory to
retirement is a concession should be a

987

R

a Retiring Officer and it does not appear to be
 the intention of the G.P. No ^x15097-1368 20-4-03. If
 Subject the same privilege leave allowance of such
 an officer to any deduction. As soon as such
 privilege leave is availed of by an officer, the
 Vacancy caused by his retirement will be
 necessarily filled up & his Successor will be
 paid in the usual course. Therefore the Grant of
~~privilege~~ of full pay to an officer on privilege
 leave preparatory to retirement necessarily
 imposes extra cost which should be admitted.
 A draft order is put up for approval.
 Submitted to the Secretary

Mull
 5/7/04

Draft Submitted to the
 Dewan Sahib for approval
 R

8/7/04 Mull
 8/7/04

123
 9.7.04.

B. B

II am. 6/6

Edn. 81 J

(1)

PHA - 1892

5

No. 10503
441

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL OF EDUCATION IN MYSORE,

^{Bangalore,}
Camp Chandidroog 29th May 1904.

From

H. J. BHABHA, Esq., ^{Ma}
Inspector General of Education in Mysore,

To

L. Anantawami Rao Esq BA,
Offg. Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments,
Bangalore.

SIR,

I have the honour to state that in
accordance with Government Order No
15057-136 dated the 20th April 1903, I
P & A-1396 ed
recommend in my letter No 5920 dated
214
the 13th January 1904 the grant of pri-
vilege leave for three months to Mr.
T. Srinivasa Rao, Assistant Deputy Inspector
in receipt of Rs 80/- a month in Class
IV (50 - $\frac{10}{2}$ - 100) preparatory to his retire-
ment which was sanctioned by Govern-
ment Order No 2288-9 Ed dated the 14th
November 1903 and the leave was
sanctioned by Government Order G. No
3741-2 Ed dated the 29th January 1904.
Mr. Srinivasa Rao availed himself of
the leave with effect from the 19th on

7.

ry

11. 2318

which date he was relieved by his suc-
cessor Mr. Narayan Rao BA, B.L. whose
appointment was sanctioned by Govt.
Order No 3226-7 dated the 24th December
1903. A bill for Rs 241-10-2 being the
amount of leave allowance due to Mr
Srinivasa Rao from the 20th January
to 19th April last which was forwarded
to the Comptroller for audit has been
returned unadmitted with the observation
that as the leave sanctioned is regulated
by Article 191 of the Mysore Service Regu-
lations ^{and as the vacancy caused.} by the retirement of Mr Srin-
ivasa Rao has been filled up with
effect from 20th January 1904, the sanc-
tion of Government should be obtained
to the extra cost incurred.

I request accordingly that Govern-
ment may be pleased to accord sanction
for the payment of Mr Srinivasa Rao's
bill.

I have the honour to be,
Sir
Your most obedient servant,
H Bhabha
Inspector General.

H.

Prof of the Govt. of H H the
Maharaja of Mysore

Reading

Letter no 10503 of the 29th May 1904
from the S. & ^{4th} Education

1 Stating that the Compt. has returned
Unaudited the bill for the allowance
payable to Mr. J. Srinivasan for
the period of 3 months he was on
privilege leave preparatory to
retirement, sanctioned in G.O. no

33741/2 of 29-1-04 on the ground that
the leave is regulated by art 191 of
the M.S.R. and requesting the sanction
of the Govt. to the extra cost
incurred.

Order thereon. No. SL. 375-6
S.A. 43-'03

Government ^{by 20-7-'04} are pleased
to observe that the grant of
privilege leave preparatory to
retirement, Under the authority
of G.O. no 15097-136 of ^{the} 20th April 0.
Sta 1396
necessarily involves extra cost,
which should therefore be admitted.

Ull

14-7-1904
14-7-04

To The S. of Education
The Compt.

8/7/1904
Offy Secy
muh

Submitted to the Dewan
Sahib for approval
8/7/1904